

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,324	09/15/2003	Harold D. Beck	03-11	3791	
30699	30699 7590 04/19/2007 EXAMINE				
1 PRESTIGE I	PLACE		AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER		
MIAMISBUR	G, OH 45342		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		04/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
At the CAL containing	10/663,324	BECK ET AL.	-•		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Walter B. Aughenbaugh	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		<del></del>	ss		
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate or period for reply (including a total extension of time of the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the county Aproposed reply was received on	f Mailing or Transmission dated of month(s)) which expired on	_), which is after the exp 			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fill Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	tion consists only of: (1) a timely filed a led Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	amendment which places	the		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.					
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL)		n the statutory period of t	hree months		
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balar	nce of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.	•				
4.  The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	the attorney or agent of record, the as	ssignee of the entire inter	est, or all of		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	an attorney or agent (acting in a repre	esentative capacity under	37 CFR		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interform of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cl		use the period for seeking	court review		
7.  The reason(s) below:					
See Continuation Sheet		1			
	JI SUPERVIS	ENNIFER MCNEIL SORY PATENT EXAM 4/18/7	INER		
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office					
	e of Abandonment	Part of Paper N	lo. 20070414		

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-1432)

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: In a telephone conversation with J. Daniel Lykins, Applicant's Representative, on April 13, 2007, Applicant's Representative informed Examiner that a response to the October 5, 2006 Office Action was prepared, but inadvertently was not filed. Examiner checked the IFW file to see if a response was scanned in but not forwarded to Examiner, in the event that a response actually was filed, but no response was in the IFW file. Examiner notified Applicant's Representative that a notice of abandonment would be filed. Applicant's Representative stated that a petition to revive abandoned application would be filed.

Walter Aughenbaugh

MA